## 11. The Article

## Lesson in a Nutshell

The article (and all modifiers) match the nouns they modify in case, number and gender. They must be able to be masculine and feminine and neuter nouns. The article changes form ("declines") according to a 2-1-2 pattern.

## English Articles: "A" and "The"

In English there are two kinds of articles, the definite article ("the") and the indefinite article ("a").

The definite article "definitizes." It points to something specifically. Not just any old tree,"the" tree. Not just any old monkey, "the" monkey.

The indefinite article leaves options open. "A" tree, meaning any old tree. "A" monkey, meaning any old monkey.

If I ask you to bring me a cup of coffee you grab any cup and bring it to me, filled with coffee.

But if I ask you to bring me the cup of coffee, I have a specific cup of coffee in mind. The definite article takes the general concept and "definitizes" it.

If you are an English speaker you will find this all very natural.

## The Greek Article: Three Twists

As we go along, we will find that the Greek article is very helpful in our translation. But there are three twists to the Greek article we must get used to.

## Twist \#1: No Indefinite Article

There is no indefinite article in Greek. In other words, there is no form of the article specifically to designate that you mean "a" monkey. Or, if you prefer, there is no way to be specifically non-specific.

So how does Greek communicate an indefinite idea? Usually by not using the article at all.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { ○ } \alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \zeta=\text { "the" man. } \\
& \alpha \vee \theta \rho \omega \pi \sigma \zeta=\text { "a" man }
\end{aligned}
$$

This is not a hard and fast rule. We will discover many exceptions as we go along.
For example, John 1:1 reads as follows:

<br>God was the Word

There is no article before $\theta \varepsilon \sigma \varsigma$ ("God"). Does this mean that "God" is indefinite here, in other words, that the Word was a god? If so, then Jesus may be god-like but John is not claiming that he is fully God. This would be contrary to other things that John says about Jesus in his gospel.

What is going on here?
This is an example of the nuanced way that Greek makes use of the article.
One cannot automatically assume that if there is no article that the noun is indefinite ("a").

One cannot automatically assume that if there is an article that we supply the definite article in our translation ("the").

John has crafted a remarkably nuanced statement about the nature of Jesus in four words. We will study this more in our next class, Studies in John.

## Twist \#2: Greater Nuance

Greek use of the article is much more nuanced than in English. There are similarities with the use of the English article. But there are also many ways in which the Greek article is used differently than the English article. The only way to get the hang of it will be to read a lot of Greek.

## Twist \#3: 24 Forms

There are 24 forms of the Greek article. ${ }^{1}$ In other words, there are 24 ways to say "the."

## Huh??



## Why??

Because in English we use the word "the" whether we are talking about...

> the man
the men
the woman
the women
the work
the works
The English article does not change form to match the case, number and gender of the noun it modifies. But in Greek, the article looks like this:

| ○ $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оऽ | the man |
| :---: | :---: |
| oi $\alpha v \theta \rho \omega \pi$ оı | the men |
| $\dagger_{i} \gamma \cup v \eta$ | the woman |
| al juvar | the women |
| то عрүov | the work |
| $\tau \alpha \varepsilon \rho \gamma \alpha$ | the works |

See how the Greek article changes form? The boring old English article remains static. The fascinating Greek article changes form to match the noun it modifies in case, number and gender.

[^0]I'll say it again: The article is changing forms to match the noun it modifies in:
case (nominative, genitive, dative, accusative)
number (singular, plural)
gender (masculine, feminine, neuter)
Let's take a look at how the nouns "morph" to do this.

## Article Morphology

We saw that every noun has case, number and gender. The same will be true with the article.

But there were only eight forms of each noun. There are 24 forms of the article. Why?
Because the nouns were either masculine or feminine or neuter.

But the article has to be able to modify all kinds of nouns. This is why it must be able to be masculine and feminine and neuter.

So rather than eight forms there are $3 \times 8$ forms $=24$.
2 (numbers) $\times 3$ (genders) $\times 4$ (cases) $=24$ (forms)

That's...

| 2 (numbers) <br> Two Numbers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| The Article |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | N |
| 年 | Nominative "the" | $\dot{\circ}$ | $\dot{\eta}$ | тó |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau 0 \hat{*}$ | $\tau \bar{\dagger}$ ¢ | $\tau 0 \hat{}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \hat{\square}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Accusative the | тóv | $\tau \eta$ | тó |
| - | Nominative "the" | oi | $\alpha i$ | $\tau \alpha$ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \omega \bar{\nu}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | тois | $\tau \alpha \mathrm{is}$ | тô¢ |
|  | Accusative "the" | тov́s | $\tau \alpha$ | $\tau \alpha$ |



| $\text { x } 4 \text { (cases) }$ <br> Four Cases |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The Article |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | M | F | N |
| $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \frac{\text { 헤 }}{3} \\ \frac{訁}{6} \\ \frac{c}{\omega} \end{array}$ | Nominative "the" | $\dot{\text { o }}$ | $\dot{\eta}$ | тó |
|  | Genitive "of the" | тovิ | $\tau \hat{\varsigma} \leqslant$ | $\tau 0 \hat{*}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \hat{\square}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Accusative the | тóv | тท่า | тó |
| $\left\|\frac{\overline{3}}{2}\right\|$ |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nominative "the" | oi | $\alpha i$ | $\tau \alpha$ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | тô̌ร | т $\mathrm{i}^{\text {ch }}$ | тois |
|  | Accusative "the" | тoús | тóc | $\tau \alpha$ |

$=24$ (forms)

This is what the 24 forms look like

| The Article |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M | F | N |
|  | Nominative "the" | $\bigcirc$ | ๆ | $\tau 0$ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | น0v̂ | $\tau \tilde{\eta} \zeta$ | $\tau 0 \hat{}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \hat{\sim}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Accusative the | ¢óv | $\tau \eta ้$ | to |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \overline{\sigma_{0}^{\prime}} \\ & \overline{\bar{n}} \end{aligned}$ | Nominative "the" | Oi | $\alpha i$ | тó |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | тois | тois | тois |
|  | Accusative "the" | тov́s | тós | то́ |

At this point you may be terrified. If there are so many forms of Greek words, what hope is there of ever learning all this!

Don't panic.
It is not nearly as bad as it sounds. The patterns that Greek words follow to tell you their case, number and gender are very regular. In fact, we already know them!

Compare the forms of the article with the noun endings table:

| The Article |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | M (2) | F(1) | N (2) |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { 毕 } \\ & \text { 亭 } \end{aligned}$ | Nominative "the" | ¢ | ทํ | tó |
|  | Genitive "of the" | тov̂ | $\tau ท ิ$ | т0vิ |
|  | Dative "to the" | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ | $\tau \hat{1}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Accusative the | tóv | $\tau \eta{ }^{\text {c }}$ | tó |
| $\frac{\pi}{\frac{\pi}{2}}$ | Nominative "the" | oi | $\alpha i$ | $\tau \alpha$ |
|  | Genitive "of the" | $\tau \hat{\omega} \nu$ | $\tau \hat{\omega} \mathrm{v}$ | $\tau \hat{\omega}$ |
|  | Dative "to the" | тois | т | тois |
|  | Accusative "the" | т0v์ | тóc | то́ |


| Noun Endings |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|} \hline \text { Masculine } \\ \text { (2nd declension) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} \text { Feminine } \\ \text { (1st declension) } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Neuter } \\ \text { (2nd declension) } \end{gathered}$ |  |
| 05 | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\text {ovos }} \\ \text { word } \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ or $\eta$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { opxn } \\ \text { beginning } \end{gathered}$ | OV | epyov work eren |
| 00 | $\lambda 0 \gamma 0 v$ of a worc | $\alpha S_{\text {ог }} \downarrow$ S | $\alpha \rho \chi n s$ of a beginning | 00 | عрүov of work |
| $\omega$ | $\begin{gathered} \lambda, \begin{array}{l} \lambda \omega \\ \text { to a word } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\boldsymbol{\alpha}$ or ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { apxn } \\ \text { to a beginning } \end{gathered}$ | $\omega$ | $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \omega$ to work |
| OV | $\begin{gathered} \lambda_{\text {ovoov }} \\ \text { word } \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha V$ or $\eta V$ | $\alpha \rho \chi \eta 1$ beginning | OV | عрүov work |
| 01 | $\lambda$ oyol words | $\alpha 1$ | $\begin{gathered} \alpha \rho \chi \alpha 1 \\ \text { beginnings } \end{gathered}$ | $\alpha$ | $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \underline{\alpha}$ works |
| $\omega \mathrm{V}$ | $\lambda 0 \gamma \omega v$ of words | $\omega \mathrm{V}$ | $\alpha \rho \chi \omega v$ of beginnings | $\omega \mathrm{V}$ | ع $\gamma \gamma \omega \mathrm{v}$ of works |
| 015 | $\lambda$ रoyots to word | OLS | $\alpha \rho \chi \alpha \wedge$ to beginnings | 015 | ع $\rho$ yous to works |
| OUS | noyovs | $\alpha \zeta$ | $\alpha \rho \alpha \alpha \varsigma$ beginnings | $\alpha$ | $\varepsilon \rho \gamma \underline{\alpha}$ works |

Do you see the similarities? In most instances, the article looks like the noun ending with a tau attached to the front. Again, mastering Greek is all about learning to see patterns!

## Lexical Form of The Article

When you look up the Greek article in a lexicon, it is listed like this:
ó, ஸ., 七ó

Why three forms? Because to know the article we have to know how it behaves in its masculine, feminine and neuter forms. The lexicon gives us this information.

The article follows a 2-1-2 pattern. This means it follows the second declension in the masculine gender, the first declension in the feminine gender and the second declension in the neuter gender.


More about this in our next lesson.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ There are actually fewer forms since some of them repeat, but I find it helpful to think of it this way.

