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The Pennant Registrar

Squash SA

PO Box 56

Melrose Park SA 5039

Fax (08) 8177 0139

Email squash@squashsa.asn.au

**WITNESS STATEMENT**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| WITNESS DETAILS |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Name |  |  | Club |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Home Phone |  |  | Work/Business Phone |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Mobile Phone |  |  | Email |  |

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  |  |  |  |
| This statement is made in respect of the match that took place |
| at |  |  | on |  |
| between |  |  | and |  |
|  |
| Witness was present at the match in the capacity |
| of |  | (e.g. a player, referee, marker) |
|  |  |  |  |
| STATEMENT BY WITNESS |  |  |  |

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| See Page 2 |  |  |  |  |

|  |  |
| --- | --- |
| Date  |  |
| Signature of Witness |  |

 (May print name here if this Witness Statement is an attachment to an Email)

Note: The phone and email details of the witness shall not be disclosed to any person other than the Pennant Registrar and members of the Tribunal.
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**STATEMENT OF WITNESS**

**1.**

**Page 3**

**EXPLANATORY NOTES**

(To Assist Completion of Witness Statement)

1. The “Statement of Witness” on page 2 of the Witness Statement is where the witness must give details of his evidence.
2. The witness must make specific allegations of what he actually saw, heard, said or did. See example below.

Generalisations are not acceptable. e.g. “He kept abusing the referee” or “He swore several times during the match.”

A witness desiring to make allegations of this nature needs to list each instance and be specific about what the player said or did on each occasion.

**EXAMPLE**

1. In the first game:

	1. I saw Joe Blow run into John Smith, but I thought it was accidental.
	2. At that time the referee of the match issued a conduct warning to Joe Blow. I thought this ruling was very severe because I felt it was an accident.
2. I did not see the incident in respect of which the referee awarded a conduct stroke against Joe Blow in favour of Joe Blow because I was talking to someone at the time.
3. In the fourth game :
	1. I heard Joe Blow called out “Faaa”.
	2. At that time the referee of the match awarded a conduct stroke to John Smith and advised Joe Blow that, if there was any further problems with him, he intended to award a conduct game against him.
4. In the fifth game:
	1. I heard Joe Blow say to the referee “You’re an idiot” and the referee awarded a conduct game to John Smith.
	2. This resulted in a match win to John Smith by 3 games to 2.
5. As Joe Blow left the court:
	1. I saw Joe Blow smash his racquet on the wall of the squash court, and
	2. I heard him say something to the referee, but I am uncertain as to what he actually said.